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Towards a commons-based copyright – IFLA 08/2010
make knowledge freely available
The Göttingen Declaration on Copyright for Education and Research of 5 July 2004

Preliminary Remarks

The answer to the question 'How accessible are knowledge and information?' will determine the opportunities for education and development open to every citizen in the information society and the opportunities future generations will have to build on existing knowledge. The legal provisions set out in German copyright law will determine whether open, networked communications structures will continue to develop in our society in the long term. They will also affect the quality of our education system, the inventiveness of the sciences and the innovative capacity of trade and industry. In a global, competitive environment, they play a vital role in promoting social, cultural and economic development and hence in securing the future of our society.

With regard to the implementation of Directive 2001/29/EC in Germany, the legislature has so far mainly addressed the concerns of rightholders regarding the commercial use of digital media and networks as additional distribution channels. The prime concern here has been to minimise the risks related to private copying rather than to encourage exploiting the opportunities afforded by the new technical media to the public at large. The latter point is particularly important for the fields of education and science.

In a digitised and networked information society, access to global information for the purposes of education and science must be guaranteed at all times from any place.
Zum Dritten Korb

Aktuell:
- 13.06.2010 Anhörung zu Open Access, Kabelweiterverwendung und Kneipenrecht - Materialien zur Anhörung - Materialien zu Open Access
- 28.06.2010 Anhörung zum Leistungsschutzrecht für Verleger - Materialien zum Thema im Infopool
- Liste mit Publikationen zur Berliner Rede zum Urheberrecht
- Aufzeichnung und Manuskript der Berliner Rede zum Urheberrecht vom 14.06.2010 bei carta.info

Weiterlesen

in Dritten Korb

Abstracts wissenschaftlicher Texte erstellen ist in der Regel urheberrechtlich unbedenklich

Verfasst von Thomas Hartmann am 15. Juli 2010 - 17:10

Eine Verhandlung heute vor dem Bundesgerichtshof (BGH) zeigt: Werden vor allem die Zitierregeln eingehalten, dann sind Inhaltswiedergaben wissenschaftlicher Texte (so genannte Abstracts) urheberrechtlich zulässig.
Content - Topics

➢ Questions – Objectives – Assumptions

➢ Some remarks on the Wittem proposal and/or a need for a reinterpretation of the three-step-test

➢ A science-friendly copyright privilege – a proposal of the German Coalition for Action Copyright for Science and Education

➢ A paradigm shift - from a private-based copyright towards a commons-based copyright regulation

➢ Models for a co-existence between commercial and open/free information markets
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Questions – Objectives – Assumptions

Some remarks on the Wittem proposal and/or a need for a reinterpretation of the three-step-test

A science-friendly copyright privilege – a proposal of the German Coalition for Action Copyright for Science and Education

A paradigm shift -from a private-based copyright towards a commons-based copyright regulation

Models for a co-existence between commercial and open/free information markets
Questions – Objectives – Assumptions
Questions – Objectives – Assumptions

Is a **strong copyright** an appropriate means to further progress in science and education?
Questions – Objectives – Assumptions

What is a strong copyright?

(a) One that makes knowledge and information a scarce good – a commodity?

or

(b) One that supports open free access to knowledge and information?
The enforcement of strong copyright regulations (in Europe and North America in the last 20 years), which heavily supports the commercial exploitation of knowledge and information (not necessarily creators’ rights), makes it more and more difficult to freely access the world-wide information resources in principle available on the world-wide information markets.
Questions – Objectives – Assumptions

There is **no special copyright privilege** for science and education (or for libraries).

The interests of science and education **are only taken into consideration by exception and limitation** to exclusive rights of the right-holders.

Exceptions and limitations are only allowed if and when they comply with a **strong interpretation of the three-step-test**.
Limitations and exceptions
Limitations and exceptions

Always under the control of the three-step-test
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**English:** A barrier, restricting the access for cars for a nature reserve

**Deutsch:** Eine Schranke, die die Einfahrt von Kraftfahrzeugen in ein Naturschutzgebiet verhindert
Exceptions for science, education (§52a German copyright law)

Only small parts of works

Only for use in classroom

Time limit end of 2006, then 2008, now 2012

For the use of defined research groups

Only for registered students in classes without any direct or indirect commercial interest

Use of copyrighted material in schools only with special permission of rightholders

A reasonable fee needs to be paid to collecting societies in any case

§ 52a: Nicht mehr anzuwenden gem. § 137k (F 10. September 2003) mWv 1.1.2007

(1) § 52a Öffentliche Zugänglichmachung für Unterricht und Forschung

1. einzelne Beiträge aus Zeitungen oder Zeitschriften zur Veranschaulichung im Unterricht an Schulen, Hochschulen, nichtgewerblichen Einrichtungen der Aus- und Weiterbildung sowie an Einrichtungen der Berufsbildung ausschließlich für den bestimmt abgegrenzten Kreis von Unterrichtsteilnehmern oder

2. veröffentlichte Teile eines Werkes, Werke geringen Umfangs sowie einzelne Beiträge aus Zeitungen oder Zeitschriften ausschließlich für einen bestimmt abgegrenzten Kreis von Personen für deren eigene wissenschaftliche Forschung öffentlich zugänglich zu machen, soweit dies zu dem jeweiligen Zweck geboten und zur Verfolgung nicht kommerzieller Zwecke gerechtfertigt ist.


Use of movie/video material only 2 years after public performance
Limitations and exceptions - three-step-test

Everything depends on a balanced liberal flexible interpretation of the three-step-test

*Article 13*

*Limitations and Exceptions*

Members shall confine limitations or exceptions to exclusive rights to certain special cases which

do not conflict with a normal exploitation of the work

and do not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of the right holder.
Everything depends on a balanced liberal flexible interpretation of the three-step-test

DECLARATION
A BALANCED INTERPRETATION
OF THE “THREE-STEP TEST” IN COPYRIGHT LAW
(Geiger, Hilty, Griffiths, Suthersanen 2008)

The Three-Step Test does not require limitations and exceptions to be interpreted narrowly. They are to be interpreted according to their objectives and purposes.
Limitations and exceptions - three-step-test

Everything depends on a balanced liberal flexible interpretation of the three-step-test

DECLARATION
A BALANCED INTERPRETATION
OF THE “THREE-STEP TEST” IN COPYRIGHT LAW
(Geiger, Hilty, Griffiths, Suthersanen 2008)

In applying the Three-Step Test, account should be taken of the interests of original rightholders, as well as of those of subsequent rightholders.
Everything depends on a balanced liberal flexible interpretation of the three-step-test

DECLARATION
A BALANCED INTERPRETATION
OF THE “THREE-STEP TEST” IN COPYRIGHT LAW
(Geiger, Hilty, Griffiths, Suthersanen 2008)

The Three-Step Test should be interpreted in a manner that respects the legitimate interests of third parties, including

interests deriving from human rights and fundamental freedoms; interests in competition, notably on secondary markets; and

other public interests, notably in scientific progress and cultural, social, or economic development.
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The Wittem Project

European copyright code

Groundbreaking or a missed opportunity?
Groundbreaking or a missed opportunity?

Chapter 5: Limitations

Art. 5.1 – Uses with minimal economic significance

Art. 5.2 – Uses for the purpose of freedom of expression and information

Art. 5.3 – Uses permitted to promote social, political and cultural objectives

Art. 5.4 – Uses for the purpose of enhancing competition

Art. 5.5 – Further limitations

Art. 5.6 – Relation with moral rights

Art. 5.7 – Amount and collection of remuneration

Art. 5.8 – Limitations prevailing over technical measures
Art. 5.2– Uses for the purpose of freedom of expression and information

(2) The following uses for the purpose of freedom of expression and information are permitted without authorisation, but only against payment of remuneration and to the extent justified by the purpose of the use:

(a) use of single articles for purposes of internal reporting within an organisation;
(b) use for purposes of scientific research.
Art. 5.3 – Uses permitted to promote social, political and cultural objectives

(2) The following uses for the purpose of promoting important social, political and cultural objectives are permitted without authorisation, but only against payment of remuneration, and to the extent justified by the purpose of the use:

(a) reproduction by a natural person for private use, provided that the source from which the reproduction is made is not an obviously infringing copy;

(b) use for educational purposes.
Art. 5.4 – Uses for the purpose of enhancing competition

(1) The following uses for the purpose of enhancing competition are permitted without authorisation and without remuneration, to the extent justified by the purpose of the use:

(a) use for the purpose of advertising public exhibitions or sales of artistic works or goods which have been lawfully put on the market;

(b) use for the purpose of reverse engineering in order to obtain access to information, by a person entitled to use the work.
Groundbreaking or a missed opportunity?

Art. 5.4 – Uses for the purpose of enhancing competition

(2) Uses of news articles, scientific works, industrial designs, computer programs and databases are permitted without authorisation, but only against payment of a negotiated remuneration, and to the extent justified by the purpose of the use, provided that:

(i) the use is indispensable to compete on a derivative market;

(ii) the owner of the copyright in the work has refused to license the use on reasonable terms, leading to the elimination of competition in the relevant market and

(iii) the use does not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of the owner of the copyright in the work.
The Wittem proposal – a breakthrough in copyright?

The approach of the Wittem group is both traditional and conservative (although realistic)

- focus on a set of exceptions
- betting on the wrong horse?

- focus on single author and unitary closed work
- neglecting collaborative paradigm
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The Wittem proposal – a breakthrough in copyright?

The approach of the Wittem group is both traditional and conservative (although realistic)

- Considering knowledge as a private property
- Ignoring the character of knowledge as a commons

- Focus on single author and unitary closed work
- Neglecting collaborative paradigm
A generic clause for science and education
§ 45b Education and Science

(1) Copying, distributing and making published works available to the public is permitted for personal use in science and for educational purposes in schools, institutions of higher education (such as universities), and other non-commercial institutions dedicated to education, continuing and professional training. The right to make works publicly available (and to use these works) is restricted in each case to a well-defined group of people in science and education. Sentence 1 is also valid for scientific and educational purposes in documentation, archiving and preservation, in particular for services provided by publicly financed libraries, archives, documentation centers and museums which support scientific usage and serve educational purposes.
§ 45b Education and Science

(1) Copying, distributing and making published works available to the public is permitted for personal use in science and for educational purposes in schools, institutions of higher education (such as universities), and other non-commercial institutions dedicated to education, continuing and professional training.

provided that the source from which the reproduction is made is not an obviously infringing copy from a *legitimately acquired* copy only, e.g. from a *library* or bought from a *commercial provider*.
§ 45b Education and Science

(2) The usage of published works according to para (1) requires remuneration. Remuneration can only be claimed by a collecting society or by another legitimized institution.

(3) Contractual agreements which rule out para (1) are invalid.
§ 45b Education and Science

... The right to make works publicly available (and to use these works) is restricted in each case to a well-defined group of people in science and education. ...

➢ Scientists within a research group (local but also remote)

➢ Members (students) of a course (local but also remote - e-learning)
§ 45b Education and Science

... Sentence 1 is also valid for scientific and educational purposes in documentation, archiving and preservation, in particular for services provided by publicly financed libraries, archives, documentation centers and museums which support scientific usage and serve educational purposes. ...
Knowledge
a commons
What are commons?

Commons

➢ water
➢ natural resources
➢ public spaces
➢ air/sky
➢ knowledge

Aus: Peter Barnes: Capitalism 3.0
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Knowledge – a commons

res nullius
res privatae
res publicae
res communes

What is knowledge?
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Justinian I., Mosaikdetail aus der Kirche San Vitalis in Ravenna
Knowledge – a commons

Who owns knowledge?

Those who have produced knowledge?

Yes

but nobody owns knowledge exclusively

according to Thomas Jefferson
The Letters of Thomas Jefferson: 1743-1826

NO PATENTS ON IDEAS

To Isaac McPherson
Monticello, August 13, 1813

If nature has made any one thing less susceptible than all others of exclusive property, it is the action of the thinking power called an idea, which an individual may exclusively possess as long as he keeps it to himself; but the moment it is divulged, it forces itself into the possession of every one, and the receiver cannot dispossess himself of it. Its peculiar character, too, is that no one possesses the less, because every other possesses the whole of it. He who receives an idea from me, receives instruction himself without lessening mine; as he who lights his taper at mine, receives light without darkening me.
Knowledge – a commons

Knowledge is a commons
but only usable when accessible

Knowledge needs to be institutionalized appropriately
In order to become a common property

Open access is a form of institutionalization of knowledge as a commons
Knowledge – a commons

What needs to be done?

develop and implement a new understanding of property

New models for knowledge and information
Models for the institutionalization of knowledge and information on the information markets
(1) Proprietary commercial information markets

- objects
  - trade with information objects
  - claimed as private property rights
  - transformation of authors’ rights into exploiters’ rights

- exclusive rights for the exploitation
  - with the consequence that knowledge is made a scarce good

- copyright law
- DRM
- contractual agreement licenses
(2) Open free markets

**Institutionalization of knowledge and information on the markets**

- **Information objects**
  - collaboration
  - sharing
  - claimed as
    - personal moral rights
    - or
given into the commons

- **Non-exclusive commercial exploitation rights**
  - type 1
  - type 3
  - freeconomics

- **Free open use**
  - as
  - a means of development

- Creative commons
- Open Access
Institutionalization of knowledge and information on the markets

(3) Freeconomics markets – prototype Google

objects

Information objects
search engines

as

private property rights

but

free use

profit

Not by information itself

but

using user search profiles for advertisement

merchandizing cross financing
Institutionalization of knowledge and information on the markets

(4) commons-based information markets

Commons
air/sky
water
natural resources
the public space
knowledge

A property of mankind
but
private rights for exploitation possible and often necessary
but only

with non-exclusive exploitation rights
and with sufficient compensation to the public

today – widely privatized without any or very limited compensation to the public
Consequences

needed

a new understanding of intellectual property

a new understanding of copyright
free access → the default
commercial exploitation → the exception

New business models for knowledge and information in recognition of the free access paradigm
Thank you for your attention

Slides under a CC-Lincence from www.kuhlen.name
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You are free:

- to Share — to copy, distribute and transmit the work
- to Remix — to adapt the work

Under the following conditions:

**Attribution.** You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work).

What does "Attribute this work" mean?
The page you came from contained embedded licensing metadata, including how the creator wishes to be attributed for re-use. You can use the HTML here to cite the work. Doing so will also include metadata on your page so that others can find the original work as well.

**Share Alike.** If you alter, transform, or build upon this work, you may distribute the resulting work only under the same or similar license to this one.

- For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work. The best way to do this is with a link to this web page.
- Any of the above conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder.
- Nothing in this license impairs or restricts the author's moral rights.